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Where exactly is Stuttgart?

Stuttgart is the capital and largest city of the state of Baden-Württemberg in southwest Germany.
What is Stuttgart known for?
Boerse Stuttgart is Europe’s leading market for securitised derivatives
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Currently more than 1.4m instruments are listed at Boerse Stuttgart

This number is driven by the large amount of securitised derivatives

Source: Boerse Stuttgart. Last updated: May 2017
Boerse Stuttgart ranks among Europe’s Top 10 Stock Exchanges in terms of turnover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Stock Exchange</th>
<th>Turnover (2016) in billion €*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>London Stock Exchange Group</td>
<td>3 076.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Euronext</td>
<td>1 709.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Deutsche Börse</td>
<td>1 367.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SIX Swiss Exchange</td>
<td>869.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BME (Spanish Exchanges)</td>
<td>775.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NASDAQ OMX Nordic Baltics</td>
<td>666.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Borsa Istanbul</td>
<td>407.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Oslo Børs</td>
<td>120.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Boerse Stuttgart</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Warsaw Stock Exchange</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Vienna Stock Exchange</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Irish Stock Exchange</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Athens Stock Exchange</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Prague Stock Exchange</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Boerse Stuttgart’s market model, Quality Liquidity Providers assure execution quality and liquidity for all 1.5M instruments.

Euwax Aktiengesellschaft

• Quality assurance of pre-trade information
• Quality assurance of order execution
• Liquidity provision

Boerse Stuttgart is regulated as a Regulated Market / Trading Venue according to Art. 48 MiFID II.

Euwax is regulated as an Investment Firm engaging in algorithmic trading according to Art. 17 MiFID II.
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Boerse Stuttgart now approaches the last quarter of its two-year MiFID II project which is dominated by development and testing activities.

### Project Timeline

- **4 January 2016**: Kick-off Implementation Project
- **3 July 2016**: Go-Live MAR Reference Data Provisioning
- **5 June 2017**: First Beta Delivery of Xitaro Release
- **3 April 2017**: Level 2 measures published in Official Journal
- **3 July 2017**: MiFID II transposed into national law
- **9 October 2017**: Go-Live of MiFID II changes to Xitaro
- **3 January 2018**: Application of MiFID II legislation

### Key Activities

- **Development**
  - Detailed Analysis of Requirements
  - Testing
  - Xitaro Testing
- **Implementation**
  - Implementation of MAR Requirements
- **Participant Involvement**
  - MiFID II Working Groups
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MiFID II / MiFIR requires trading venues and investment firms to implement substantial changes in existing technology and processes.

**Selected Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Clock Synchronisation**

2. **Approved Publication Arrangements (APA)**
   - Reporting and transparency requirements on transactions in bilateral trading between Systematic Internalisers (SIs) and Investment Firms.

3. **Transaction Reporting**
   - New requirements on transaction reporting to NCAs by investment firms, including requirements on trading venues for non-EEA and ESCB participants.

4. **New Transparency & Order Record Keeping Requirements**
   - Trading venues are required to implement new fields for order related messages and keep records for five years; make them available to NCAs.

5. **Reference Data**
   - Extended reference data sets need to be gathered by trading venues and reported to NCAs (also part of Art. 4 MAR).

6. **Position limits and reporting for commodity derivatives**
   - New infrastructure needs to be implemented to fulfil new requirements on the management and reporting of commodity derivatives positions.

7. **Prevention of disorderly trading conditions**
   - Extended requirements on trading venues to prevent disorderly trading conditions, e.g. Pre-/Post-Trade Controls, Throttling, etc.

8. **Quality of Execution Report**
   - Publication of reports by trading venues on the quality of order execution.

9. **Testing Requirements**
   - New requirements on trading venues and investment firms regarding algorithm testing, test environments, and testing procedures.

10. **Tick Size Requirements**
    - Introduction of a new tick size regime for the trading of equity shares and ETFs.
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Overview of MiFID II Testing Requirements

MiFID II Testing Requirements on Investment Firms and Trading Venues are further specified in the regulatory technical standards (RTS) 6 and 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements on Investment Firms</th>
<th>Requirements on Trading Venues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MiFID II Art. 17</strong></td>
<td><strong>MiFID II Art. 48</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) An investment firm that engages in algorithmic trading [...] shall ensure its systems are fully tested [...].</td>
<td>(1) [...] to ensure its trading systems [...] are able to ensure orderly trading under conditions of severe market stress, are fully tested [...].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) [...] requiring members or participants to carry out appropriate testing of algorithms and providing environments to facilitate such testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applies only to **investment firms engaged in algorithmic trading**

Applies not only to regulated markets but **all trading venues** (RM, MTF, OTF)\(^1\).

1) Art. 18 (5) determines the application of Art. 48 and 49 to MTFs and OTFs.
### Overview of MiFID II Testing Requirements

MiFID II Testing Requirements on Investment Firms and Trading Venues are further specified in the regulatory technical standards (RTS) 6 and 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements on Investment Firms</th>
<th>Requirements on Trading Venues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MiFID II Art. 17</strong></td>
<td><strong>MiFID II Art. 48</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) An investment firm that engages in algorithmic trading [...] shall ensure its systems are fully tested [...].</td>
<td>(1) [...] to ensure its trading systems [...] are able to ensure orderly trading under conditions of severe market stress, are fully tested [...].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTS 6 - Organisational requirements of investment firms engaged in algorithmic trading</strong></td>
<td><strong>RTS 7 - Organisational requirements of trading venues</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 3 Staffing</td>
<td>Art. 2 Self-assessments of compliance with Article 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Testing and deployment of trading algorithms systems and strategies</em></td>
<td>Art. 5 Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 5 General methodology</td>
<td>Art. 7 Due diligence for members of trading venues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 6 Conformance testing</td>
<td>Art. 8 Testing of the trading systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 7 Testing environments</td>
<td>Art. 9 Conformance testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 9 Annual self-assessment and validation</td>
<td>Art. 10 Testing the members' algorithms to avoid disorderly trading conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 10 Stress testing</td>
<td>Art. 14 Periodic review of the performance and capacity of the algorithmic trading systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 18 Security and limits to access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are many similarities between RTS 6 and 7 requirements but also some differences to be acknowledged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTS 6 - Organisational requirements of investment firms engaged in algorithmic trading</th>
<th>RTS 7 - Organisational requirements of trading venues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Art. 3 Staffing</td>
<td>Art. 2 Self-assessments of compliance with Article 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 5 General methodology</td>
<td>Art. 5 Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 6 Conformance testing</td>
<td>Art. 7 Due diligence for members of trading venues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 7 Testing environments</td>
<td>Art. 8 Testing of the trading systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 9 Annual self-assessment and validation</td>
<td>Art. 9 Conformance testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 10 Stress testing</td>
<td>Art. 10 Testing the members' algorithms to avoid disorderly trading conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 18 Security and limits to access</td>
<td>Art. 14 Periodic review of the performance and capacity of the algorithmic trading systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this presentation, we focus on...

- Dependencies between requirements for investment firms and trading venues
- Commonalities and differences among the requirements groups
- Examples of implementation measures at Boerse Stuttgart (trading venue) and EUWAX (investment firm)
Six areas of testing requirements will be presented today

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTS 6 - Organisational requirements of investment firms engaged in algorithmic trading</th>
<th>RTS 7 - Organisational requirements of trading venues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staffing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Staffing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 3 Staffing</td>
<td>Art. 5 Staffing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Testing Methodology</strong></td>
<td><strong>General Testing Methodology</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 5 General methodology</td>
<td>Art. 8 Testing of the trading systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conformance Testing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conformance Testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 6 Conformance testing</td>
<td>Art. 7 Due diligence for members of trading venues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Art. 9 Conformance testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing Environments</strong></td>
<td><strong>Testing Environments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 7 Testing environments</td>
<td>Art. 10 Testing the members' algorithms to avoid disorderly trading conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress Testing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Stress Testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 10 Stress testing</td>
<td>Art. 14 Periodic review of the performance and capacity of the algorithmic trading systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 18 Security and limits to access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-assessments</strong></td>
<td><strong>Self-assessments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art. 9 Annual self-assessment and validation</td>
<td>Art. 2 Self-assessments of compliance with Article 48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You need to have a sufficient number of staff qualified to manage your trading systems and trading algorithms

RTS 6 and 7 impose quite similar requirements regarding staffing:

[...] shall employ a **sufficient number of staff** with the **necessary skills** to manage [...] algorithmic trading systems and trading algorithms and with **sufficient (technical) knowledge** of:

a) the relevant trading systems and algorithms;

b) the monitoring and **testing of such systems and algorithms**;

c) the **trading strategies** that the investment firm deploys through its algorithmic trading systems and trading algorithms; / the **types of trading** undertaken by the members, participants or clients of the trading venue (‘members’);

d) [...] legal obligations

**Investment firms** need to have an adequate number of staff with especially technical knowledge of the trading systems, algorithms and strategies

**Trading venues** need to have an adequate number of staff with knowledge of the trading systems and algorithms and the types of trading undertaken by the members
MiFID II requirements on the general testing methodology may not be new, but you may want to check your processes and documentation

**Investment firms** shall...
- establish **clearly delineated methodologies** to develop and test such systems, algorithms or strategies
- adapt its testing methodologies to the **trading venues and markets** where the trading algorithm will be deployed.

**When?**
- prior to the **deployment or substantial update** of an algorithmic trading system, trading algorithm or algorithmic trading strategy

**Trading venues** shall...
- make use of **clearly defined development and testing methodologies**

**When?**
- prior to **deploying or updating** a trading system

**But also...**
- be able to **demonstrate at all times** that they have taken all reasonable steps to avoid that their trading systems contribute to disorderly trading conditions

**Testing goals: Trading systems...**
- do not behave in an unintended manner
- comply with a) the investment firm’s obligations and b) the trading venue’s rules and systems
- do not contribute to disorderly trading conditions (incl. switching off)
- compliance and risk management controls work as intended
- continue to work effectively in case of a significant increase of the number of messages
Investment firms and trading venues must work together to ensure conformance of the investment firm’s trading algorithms with the trading system

What needs to be done?

- Conformance of the investment firm’s algorithmic trading systems with the system of the trading venue
  - Interact as expected
  - Verify basic functionalities
  - Test connectivity and recovery

Interaction

- Focus on Xitaro message flows
- Describe and test correct message flows in different situations

Example

ENTER ORDER (PENDING) BY QLP VIA GIW (XSWIFT)
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1) if applicable, alternatively the system of the DMA provider
Investment firms and trading venues must work together to ensure conformance of the investment firm’s trading algorithms with the trading system

What needs to be done?

• Conformance of the investment firm’s algorithmic trading systems with the system of the trading venue
  – Interact as expected
  – Verify basic functionalities
  – Test connectivity and recovery

Basic functionalities

• Basic functionalities were verified as part of the **Member Readiness Check** in Xitaro
  • Enter, modify, cancel order
  • Event driven orders
  • OTC trade entry
  • Primary market orders
  • Reception of execution confirmations, contracts notes, and reports

• List of test cases in selected instruments
• Pro-active customer support during preparation and simulation – duration of test session about 2 hours
• Successful Member Readiness Check as requirement for admission to Go-Live

1) if applicable, alternatively the system of the DMA provider
Investment firms and trading venues must work together to ensure conformance of the investment firm’s trading algorithms with the trading system.

What needs to be done?

- Conformance of the investment firm’s algorithmic trading systems with the system of the trading venue
  - Interact as expected
  - Verify basic functionalities
  - Test connectivity and recovery

Connectivity and recovery

- Connectivity tests (leased line, frontend)
- Failover tests (intrasite, intersite failover)
- Functional tests if applicable, e.g. Cancel on Disconnect (COD) command

1) if applicable, alternatively the system of the DMA provider
Investment firms and trading venues must work together to ensure conformance of the investment firm’s trading algorithms with the trading system

What needs to be done?

• Conformance of the investment firm’s algorithmic trading systems with the system of the trading venue\(^1\)
  – Interact as expected
  – Verify basic functionalities
  – Test connectivity and recovery

• Algo testing to avoid contributing to or creating disorderly trading conditions

When?

• Access to the trading venue for the first time
• Material change of the trading venue’s system
• New or material updated algorithmic trading system

How?

• Trading venues must require their members to do conformance testing\(^2\)

---

1) if applicable, alternatively the system of the DMA provider  2) need to be included in the due diligence for members acc. to RTS 7 Art. 7
Both investment firms and trading venues are required to strictly separate testing from production environments.

**Investment firms shall...**
- use *own testing environment*\(^1\) separated from production; including all necessary surrounding systems
- use *testing environment for stress tests*

**Trading venues shall...**
- provide a *conformance testing environment* and require members to use it
  - Accessibility
  - List of financial instruments
  - Availability
  - Support
- provide access to an *algo testing environment*
  - "as realistic as possible"
  - no strict requirement for members to use it\(^2\)
- effectively separate test from production\(^3\)

---

1) Firms may also use testing environments provided by a trading venue, DEA provider or vendor, but need to retain full responsibility.
2) RTS 7 Rec. 13
3) alternatively, testing is only allowed outside trading hours (RTS 7 Rec. 10)
Stress tests shall be used by investment firms and trading venues, respectively, to verify their systems’ performance.

**Investment Firms**

**When?**
- As part of its annual self-assessment

**What?**
- Test that algorithmic trading systems can withstand increased order flows or market stresses
  - High message volume tests\(^1\)
  - High trade volume tests\(^1\)
- In addition, penetration tests and vulnerability scans shall be undertaken annually to simulate cyber-attacks (Art. 23)

**Trading Venues**

**When?**
- In the context of its self-assessment, as part of the performance evaluation

**What?**
- Simulate adverse scenarios, incl. members’ activities, in all trading phases, segments and instruments
  - Increased number of messages received\(^2\)
  - Unexpected behaviour
  - Random combination of normal and stressed market conditions

Stress tests are done **separately** by investment firms and trading venues with a different focus. There is no requirement regarding common stress testing activities.

---

1) Using twice the numbers/volume of the last 6 months maximum  
2) Baseline is the highest number of msg/s during the last 5 years
Self assessments – investment firms and trading venues should see this as an opportunity to determine their MiFID II implementation needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Firms</th>
<th>Trading Venues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTS 6 - Recital 8</strong></td>
<td><strong>RTS 7 - Recital 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Compliance with the specific organisational requirements for an investment firm should be determined according to a self-assessment [...] | Requirements should be laid down with respect to the systems of trading venues [...] . However, their specific application should take place in conjunction with a self-assessment [...] since not all trading models present the same risks. Therefore, some organisational requirements may not be appropriate for certain trading models [...].  
In particular, the specific requirements to be set [...] should be considered according to the nature, scale and complexity of the algorithmic trading activity [...]. Equally, more stringent requirements should be established by the trading venues where appropriate. |

In other words: ESMA acknowledges that...

- investment firms and markets are not necessarily equal in terms of nature, scale and complexity  
- the specific application of requirements may take these differences into consideration  
- Self-assessments could be considered a chance to explain the trading venues’ specific implementation measures
## Self-assessments – investment firms and trading venues should see this as an opportunity to determine their MiFID II implementation needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Investment Firms</strong></th>
<th><strong>Trading Venues</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>When?</strong></td>
<td><strong>When?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annually</td>
<td>• At least once a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>What?</strong></td>
<td><strong>What?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Perform self-assessment</td>
<td>• Perform self-assessment of compliance with Art. 48 MiFID II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Considering nature, scale and complexity of its business (according to Annex I)</td>
<td>– Considering nature, scale and complexity of its business (according to Annex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Perform validation process</td>
<td>- Including an analysis of all parameters set out in the Annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Among others, overall compliance with Art. 17 MiFID II</td>
<td>• Keep record for at least five years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Issue validation report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

If you consider fulfilling the MiFID II testing requirements a challenge, you might already have a problem with your established processes today...

1. Most of the requirements are probably already fulfilled by markets and their participants

2. MiFID II imposes the same standards on each investment firm and on each trading venue making it a level playing field

3. Requirements on investment firms are comparably higher than on trading venues

4. You probably do not have to implement completely new processes, but you may need to verify this and update your documentation

5. Self-assessments need to be performed at least annually, but they are also a chance to explain your MiFID II compliance to your regulator
Questions & Answers
Thank you for your attention!
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